

ABSTRACT

DEVELOPMENT AND FEASIBILITY ASSESSMENT OF SHALLOW PRESS-BRAKE-FORMED STEEL TUB GIRDERS FOR SHORT-SPAN BRIDGE APPLICATIONS

The Short Span Steel Bridge Alliance (SSSBA) is a group of bridge and culvert industry leaders (including steel manufacturers, fabricators, service centers, coaters, researchers, and representatives of related associations and government organizations) who have joined together to provide educational information on the design and construction of short-span steel bridges in installations up to 140 feet in length. From within the SSSBA technical working group, a modular, shallow press-brake-formed steel tub girder was developed. This new technology consists of cold-bending standard mill plate width and thicknesses to form a trapezoidal box girder. The steel plate can either be weathering steel or galvanized steel, each an economical option. Once the plate has been press-brake-formed, shear studs are then welded to the top flanges. A reinforced concrete deck is then cast on the girder in the fabrication shop and allowed to cure, becoming a composite modular unit. The composite tub girder is then shipped to the bridge site, allowing for accelerated construction and reduced traffic interruptions.

The scope of this project was to refine the development of the proposed system. This was performed in five stages. A rational methodology, based on conservative estimates of the system's nominal capacity, was developed to design and proportion the steel tub girder and modular unit. Destructive flexural testing was then performed on representative specimens to assess the ultimate capacity of the system in its composite and noncomposite states. Next, two separate analytical tools utilizing nonlinear finite element methods and strain-compatibility procedures were developed and benchmarked against experimental data. These analytical tools were then employed to perform behavioral studies on the proposed system, resulting in the derivation of expressions which better predict the nominal capacity than those present in AASHTO LRFD Specifications. Finally, feasibility assessments were performed, comparing the economy of the proposed system against traditional short-span bridge solutions. Results of this project demonstrate that the proposed system is an economically competitive alternative for the short-span bridge market.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	II
TABLE OF CONTENTS	III
LIST OF TABLES	X
LIST OF FIGURES	XI
CHAPTER 1:INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 BACKGROUND / OVERVIEW	1
1.2 PROJECT SCOPE & OBJECTIVES	2
1.3 ORGANIZATION	2
CHAPTER 2:BACKGROUND & LITERATURE REVIEW.....	5
2.1 INTRODUCTION	5
2.2 PREVIOUS IMPLEMENTATIONS OF COLD-BENT STEEL GIRDERS IN BRIDGE APPLICATIONS	5
2.2.1 Prefabricated Press-Formed Steel T-Box Girder Bridge System (Taly & Gangarao, 1979).....	5
2.2.2 Composite Girders with Cold-Formed Steel U-sections (Nakamura, 2002)	7
2.2.3 Con-Struct Prefabricated Bridge System	8
2.2.4 Folded Plate Girders (developed at the University of Nebraska).....	9
2.2.5 TxDOT Rapid Economical Bridge Replacement.....	10
2.2.6 MDOT Prefabricated Steel Box-Girder Systems for Accelerated Bridge Construction	11
2.2.7 Conclusions	12
2.3 OVERVIEW OF CURRENT AASHTO SPECIFICATIONS FOR TUB GIRDERS	13
2.3.1 Structural Loads.....	13
2.3.2 Limit States Summary.....	16
2.3.3 Structural Analysis Provisions.....	18

2.3.4	<i>Cross-Section Proportion Limits</i>	20
2.3.5	<i>Constructability</i>	21
2.3.6	<i>Service Limit State</i>	24
2.3.7	<i>Fatigue & Fracture Limit State</i>	26
2.3.8	<i>Strength Limit State</i>	28
2.3.8.1	General Requirements.....	28
2.3.8.2	Flexural Capacity of Composite Sections.....	29
2.3.8.3	Flexural Capacity of Noncomposite Sections.....	32
2.3.8.4	Shear Capacity	36
2.3.9	<i>AASHTO Equation References</i>	41
2.4	CONCLUSION	43
CHAPTER 3: DESIGN METHODOLOGY		44
3.1	INTRODUCTION	44
3.2	DESIGN METHODOLOGY	44
3.3	PARAMETRIC MATRIX OF GIRDERS	45
3.4	CONCLUSION	51
CHAPTER 4: EXPERIMENTAL TESTING PROGRAM		52
4.1	INTRODUCTION	52
4.2	OVERVIEW OF TESTING PROGRAM	52
4.3	SPECIMEN DESCRIPTIONS	55
4.4	INSTRUMENTATION	59
4.4.1	<i>Instruments</i>	59
4.4.2	<i>Instrumentation Plan</i>	60
4.5	MATERIAL TESTING	61
4.5.1	<i>Steel Material Properties</i>	61
4.5.2	<i>Concrete Material Properties</i>	62
4.6	FLEXURAL TESTING	62

4.6.1 Testing Procedure	63
4.6.2 Composite Specimen Testing Results	63
4.6.3 Noncomposite Specimen Testing Results	64
4.7 CONCLUSION	66
CHAPTER 5: ANALYTICAL MODELING TECHNIQUES.....	67
5.1 INTRODUCTION	67
5.2 FINITE ELEMENT MODELING PROCEDURES	67
5.2.1 Element Selection	67
5.2.2 Material Modeling.....	68
5.2.2.1 Structural Steel.....	68
5.2.2.2 Reinforced Concrete	70
5.2.3 Additional Modeling Considerations	73
5.2.3.1 Application of Geometric Imperfections	73
5.2.3.2 Application of Residual Stresses	75
5.2.4 Solution Algorithm	76
5.3 VERIFICATION OF FINITE ELEMENT MODELING.....	78
5.3.1 Benchmark Analysis #1: Schilling and Morcos (1988).....	78
5.3.2 Benchmark Analysis #2: Lay et al. (1964).....	81
5.3.3 Modeling of Press-Brake Tub Girder Flexural Tests.....	82
5.4 STRAIN-COMPATIBILITY ASSESSMENT	85
5.4.1 Initial Assumptions.....	85
5.4.2 Iterative Procedure	85
5.4.3 Results of Strain-Compatibility Analysis.....	86
5.5 CONCLUSION	87
CHAPTER 6: BEHAVIORAL STUDIES.....	88
6.1 INTRODUCTION	88

6.2 ASSESSMENT OF COMPOSITE UNIT CAPACITY	88
6.2.1 AASHTO Requirements for Compact Composite Girders	88
6.2.2 AASHTO Definition of M_n for Compact Composite Girders	90
6.2.3 AASHTO Definition of F_n for Noncompact Composite Girders	94
6.2.4 Additional Assessment of Strain Compatibility Procedure	94
6.2.5 Summary of Composite Girder Assessment	96
6.3 ASSESSMENT OF NONCOMPOSITE GIRDER BEHAVIOR	97
6.3.1 Assessment of Governing Flexural Buckling Modes	98
6.3.2 Consideration of Lateral Torsional Buckling	100
6.3.2.1 Derivation of Global Lateral Torsional Buckling Solution	101
6.3.2.2 Consideration of Second-Order Effects	103
6.3.2.3 Assessment of Flexural Capacity with SIP Forms	106
6.3.3 Assessment of Torsional Behavior	107
6.4 CONCLUSION	109
CHAPTER 7: FEASIBILITY AND ECONOMIC ASSESSMENT	110
7.1 INTRODUCTION	110
7.2 FEASIBILITY ASSESSMENTS	110
7.2.1 Design Assumptions	110
7.2.2 Results of Feasibility Assessments	112
7.3 STANDARDIZATION OF PROPOSED SYSTEM	115
7.3.1 Plate Reduction Methodology	115
7.3.2 Proposed Standardized Systems	116
7.3.2.1 Modular Single-Girder Systems	117
7.3.2.2 Modular Double-Girder System	117
7.4 COMPARISONS TO STANDARD SOLUTIONS	118
7.4.1 eSPAN140: Complimentary Solutions for Short-Span Steel Bridges	119
7.4.1.1 Design Assumptions	119

7.4.1.2 Design Results	120
7.4.2 <i>Standardized Prestressed Concrete Solutions</i>	121
7.4.2.1 Design Assumptions	122
7.4.2.2 Design Results	122
7.4.3 <i>Comparisons with Proposed System</i>	124
7.5 CONCLUSION	126
CHAPTER 8: PROJECT SUMMARY AND CONCLUDING REMARKS	127
8.1 PROJECT SUMMARY	127
8.2 PROPOSED STANDARDIZED SYSTEM	128
8.3 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR CONTINUED RESEARCH	129
REFERENCES	132
APPENDIX A: ELASTIC SECTION PROPERTIES	135
A.1 INTRODUCTION	135
A.2 NOMENCLATURE	135
A.3 DERIVATIONS OF FLEXURAL SECTION PROPERTIES	136
<i>A.3.1 Section Properties of Bend Regions (Sector of Circular Ring)</i>	<i>136</i>
A.3.1.1 Geometry of Bend Regions	136
A.3.1.2 Derivation of Bend-Region Section Properties	137
<i>A.3.2 Section Properties of Rectangular Regions</i>	<i>140</i>
<i>A.3.3 Parallel-Axis Theorem</i>	<i>143</i>
A.3.3.1 Region 1 (Bottom Flange)	145
A.3.3.2 Regions 2 and 3 (Bottom Bends).....	145
A.3.3.3 Regions 4 and 5 (Inclined Webs)	146
A.3.3.4 Regions 6 and 7 (Top Bends)	147
A.3.3.5 Regions 8 and 9 (Top Flanges).....	148
<i>A.3.4 Summary of Derivation</i>	<i>149</i>
A.3.4.1 Constant Values.....	149

A.3.4.2 Cross-Sectional Areas.....	150
A.3.4.3 Centers-of-Gravity.....	151
A.3.4.4 Moments of Inertia	151
A.4 DERIVATION OF TORSIONAL SECTION PROPERTIES	152
A.4.1 Relevant Functions and Integrals.....	152
A.4.2 Location of Shear Center.....	155
A.4.3 St. Venant Torsional Constant and Warping Constant.....	158
A.4.4 Coefficient of Monosymmetry	160
A.5 ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLE.....	162
A.5.1 Parameters of Example Girder.....	162
A.5.2 Related Flexural Expressions	163
A.5.3 Calculations of Flexural Section Properties	165
A.5.4 Related Torsional Expressions	167
APPENDIX B: GAGE DATA.....	176
B.1 INTRODUCTION.....	176
B.2 MATLAB FUNCTIONS AND PROGRAMS.....	176
B.2.1 Function File: flexprop_NC.m.....	176
B.2.2 Function File: flexprop_C.m	178
B.2.3 Program File: torprop_C.m	179
B.2.4 Program File: tubFEA.m.....	185
B.2.5 Program File: tubPOST.m.....	205
B.2.6 Function File: slices.m	206
B.2.7 Program File: tubMn.m.....	208
B.2.8 Program File: tubMp.m.....	211
B.3 ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLES	214
B.3.1 Example Girder 1.....	214

B.3.1.1 Computation of Plastic Moment	215
B.3.1.1 Computation of Nominal Moment (Strain Compatibility)	216
<i>B.3.2 Example Girder 2</i>	217
B.3.2.1 Computation of Plastic Moment	218
B.3.2.2 Computation of Nominal Moment (Strain Compatibility)	219
APPENDIX C: EXPERIMENTAL AND ANALYTICAL DATA	221
C.1 INTRODUCTION	221
C.2 EXPERIMENTAL DATA (CHAPTER 4)	221
<i>9.1.1 Data from Experiments 1 and 2 (Composite Girder Tests)</i>	222
<i>C.2.2 Data from Experiments 3 and 4 (Noncomposite Girder Tests)</i>	232
C.3 ANALYTICAL DATA (CHAPTER 6)	243
<i>C.3.1 Comparisons of Finite Element and Strain Compatibility Results</i>	243
<i>C.3.2 Constrained Finite Strip Analyses using CUFSM</i>	252
C.4 FEASIBILITY ASSESSMENTS (CHAPTER 7)	262